Graph-based Dependency Parsing Chu-Liu-Edmonds and Camerini (*k*-best)

Swabha Swayamdipta Sam Thomson

Carnegie Mellon University

November 13, 2014

Dependency Parsing



TurboParser output from http://demo.ark.cs.cmu.edu/parse?sentence=I%20ate%20the%20fish%20with%20a%20fork.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

A parse is an arborescence (aka directed rooted tree):

- Directed [Labeled] Graph
- Acyclic
- Single Root
- Connected and Spanning: ∃ directed path from root to every other word

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Every possible labeled directed edge e between every pair of nodes gets a score, score(e).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Every possible labeled directed edge e between every pair of nodes gets a score, score(e).



Example from Non-projective Dependency Parsing using Spanning Tree Algorithms McDonald et al., EMNLP '05

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

Best parse is:



Example from Non-projective Dependency Parsing using Spanning Tree Algorithms McDonald et al., EMNLP '05

Best parse is:



Example from Non-projective Dependency Parsing using Spanning Tree Algorithms McDonald et al., EMNLP '05

Best parse is:



The Chu-Liu-Edmonds algorithm finds this argmax.

Example from Non-projective Dependency Parsing using Spanning Tree Algorithms McDonald et al., EMNLP '05

Projective / Non-projective

- Some parses are projective: edges don't cross
- Most English sentences are projective, but non-projectivity is common in other languages (e.g. Czech, Hindi)

Non-projective sentence in English:



Examples from Non-projective Dependency Parsing using Spanning Tree Algorithms McDonald et al., EMNLP '05

Dependency Parsing Approaches

Chart (Eisner, CKY)

Only produces projective parses

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

► O(n³)

Dependency Parsing Approaches

- Chart (Eisner, CKY)
 - Only produces projective parses
 - ▶ O(n³)
- Shift-reduce
 - "Pseudo-projective" trick can capture some non-projectivity

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

► O(n) (fast!), but inexact

Dependency Parsing Approaches

- Chart (Eisner, CKY)
 - Only produces projective parses
 - ► O(n³)
- Shift-reduce
 - "Pseudo-projective" trick can capture some non-projectivity

- O(n) (fast!), but inexact
- Graph-based (MST)
 - Can produce projective and non-projective parses
 - $O(n^2)$ for arc-factored

Chu and Liu '65, On the Shortest Arborescence of a Directed Graph, Science Sinica

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Edmonds '67, Optimum Branchings, JRNBS

Chu-Liu-Edmonds - Intuition

Every non-ROOT node needs exactly 1 incoming edge

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.

- Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.
- If this forms an arborescence, great!

- Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.
- If this forms an arborescence, great!
- Otherwise, it will contain a cycle C.

- Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.
- If this forms an arborescence, great!
- Otherwise, it will contain a cycle C.
- Arborescences can't have cycles, so we can't keep every edge in C. One edge in C must get kicked out.

- Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.
- If this forms an arborescence, great!
- Otherwise, it will contain a cycle C.
- Arborescences can't have cycles, so we can't keep every edge in C. One edge in C must get kicked out.

• *C* also needs an incoming edge.

- Greedily pick an incoming edge for each node.
- If this forms an arborescence, great!
- Otherwise, it will contain a cycle C.
- Arborescences can't have cycles, so we can't keep every edge in C. One edge in C must get kicked out.
- C also needs an incoming edge.
- Choosing an incoming edge for C determines which edge to kick out

Chu-Liu-Edmonds

Consists of two stages:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Contracting
- Expanding

Chu-Liu-Edmonds - Contracting Stage

- For each non-ROOT node v, set bestInEdge[v] to be its highest scoring incoming edge.
- ► If a cycle *C* is ever formed:
 - contract the nodes in C into a new node v_C
 - edges incoming to any node in C now get destination v_C
 - edges outgoing from any node in C now get source v_C
 - ► For each node *u* in *C*, and for each edge *e* incoming to *u* from outside of *C*:
 - add bestInEdge[u] to kicksOut[e], and
 - set the score of e to be score[e] score[bestInEdge[u]].

 Repeat until every non-ROOT node has an incoming edge and no cycles are formed



	bestInEdge
V1	
V2	
V3	

	kicksOut
а	
b	
с	
d	
e	
f	
g	
h	
i	

- 2

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	
V3	



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	d
V3	

	kicksOut
а	
b	
с	
d	
e	
f	
g	
h	
i	

- 2

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



g

ď

g

d

g

d

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



bestInEdge
g
d
ki cko0ut

	kicksOut
а	g
b	d
с	
d	
e	
f	
g	
h	g
i	d



	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	

	kicksOut
а	g
b	d
с	
d	
е	
f	
g	
h	g
i	d



	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	h

	kicksOut
а	g
b	d
с	
d	
e	
f	
g	
h	g
i	d



	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	h
V5	

	kicksOut
а	g, h
b	d, h
с	f
d	
e	
f	
g	
h	g
i	d

	bestInEdge	
V1	g	
V2	d	
V3	f	
V4	h	
V5		
	kicksOut	
а	g, h	
b	d, h	
с	f	
d		
e	f	
f		
g		
h	g	
i	d	

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



		bestInEdge	
	V1	g	;
1	V2	d	
,	V3	f	-
,	V4	h	
	V5	a	
		kicksOut	
	а	g, h	
	b	d, h	
	с	f	
	d		
	e	f	
	f		
	g		
	h	g	
	i	d	

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



After the contracting stage, every contracted node will have exactly one **bestInEdge**. This edge will kick out one edge inside the contracted node, breaking the cycle.

- Go through each bestInEdge e in the reverse order that we added them
- lock down e, and remove every edge in kicksOut(e) from bestInEdge.

An Example - Expanding Stage

	bestInEdge
V1	g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	h
V5	а

	kicksOut
а	g, h
b	d, h
с	f
d	
e	f
f	
g	
ĥ	g
i	d



An Example - Expanding Stage

	bestInEdge
V1	a g
V2	ď
V3	f
V4	a 🖌
V5	a

	kicks0ut
а	g, h
b	d, h
с	f
d	
e	f
f	
g	
h	g
i	d




	bestInEdge
V1	a g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	a 🖌
V5	a

		kicksOut
а		g, h
b)	d, h
c	:	f
c		
e		f
f		
g	5	
h	۱	g
i		d

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



	bestInEdge
V1	a g
V2	d
V3	f
V4	a 🖌
V5	a

		kicksOut
а		g, h
b		d, h
с		f
d		
e		f
f		
g	:	
h		g
i		d

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



	bestInEdge
V1	a g
V2	ď
V3	f
V4	a 🖌
V5	a

	kicksOut
а	g, h
b	d, h
c	f
d	
e	f
f	
g	
h	g
i	d

æ

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



	bestInEdge
V1	a g
V2	ď
V3	f
V4	a 🖌
V5	a

	kicks0ut
а	g, h
b	d, h
с	f
d	
е	f
f	
g	
h	g
i	d

æ

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Chu-Liu-Edmonds - Recursive Definition

```
def Get1Best(\langle V, E \rangle, ROOT):
    """ returns best arborescence as a map from each node to its parent
    for v in V \setminus ROOT:
            bestInEdge[v] \leftarrow arg max_{u \in V} score[(u, v)]
            if bestInEdge contains a cycle C:
                     # build a new graph in which C is contracted into a single node
                    v_{C} \leftarrow \text{new Node}
                    V' \leftarrow V \cup \{v_C\} \setminus C
                    E' \leftarrow \emptyset
                    for e = (t, u) in E:
                           if t \notin C and u \notin C:
                                   e' ← e
                           elif t \in C and u \notin C:
                                   e' \leftarrow \text{new Edge}(v_c, u)
                                   score[e'] \leftarrow score[e]
                           elif u \in C and t \notin C:
                                   e' \leftarrow \text{new Edge}(t, v_c)
                                   kicksOut[e'] \leftarrow bestInEdge[u]
                                   score[e'] \leftarrow score[e] - score[kicksOut[e']]
                           real[e'] \leftarrow e
                                                                                                            # remember the original
                           E' \leftarrow E' \cup \{e'\}
                    A \leftarrow \text{Get1Best}(\langle V', E' \rangle, ROOT)
                    return {real[e'] | e' \in A} \cup (C_F \setminus {kicksOut[A[v_C]]})
```

return bestInEdge

Efficient implementation:

Tarjan '77, Finding Optimum Branchings, Networks Not recursive. Uses a union-find (a.k.a. disjoint-set) data structure to keep track of collapsed nodes.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Efficient (wrong) implementation:

Tarjan '77, Finding Optimum Branchings^{*}, Networks

*corrected in *Camerini et al. '79, A note on finding optimum branchings, Networks*

Not recursive. Uses a union-find (a.k.a. disjoint-set) data structure to keep track of collapsed nodes.

Efficient (wrong) implementation:

Tarjan '77, Finding Optimum Branchings*, Networks

*corrected in *Camerini et al. '79, A note on finding optimum branchings, Networks*

Not recursive. Uses a union-find (a.k.a. disjoint-set) data structure to keep track of collapsed nodes.

Even more efficient:

Gabow et al. '86, Efficient Algorithms for Finding Minimum Spanning Trees in Undirected and Directed Graphs, Combinatorica Uses a Fibonacci heap to keep incoming edges sorted. Describes how to constrain ROOT to have only one outgoing edge

Efficient (wrong) implementation:

Tarjan '77, Finding Optimum Branchings^{*}, Networks

*corrected in *Camerini et al. '79, A note on finding optimum branchings, Networks*

Not recursive. Uses a union-find (a.k.a. disjoint-set) data structure to keep track of collapsed nodes.

Even more efficient:

Gabow et al. '86, Efficient Algorithms for Finding Minimum Spanning Trees in Undirected and Directed Graphs, Combinatorica Uses a Fibonacci heap to keep incoming edges sorted. Describes how to constrain ROOT to have only one outgoing edge

There is a version where you don't have to specify ROOT

Camerini

Find *exact* k-best parses of a sentence given the weights of the graph

Find *exact* k-best parses of a sentence given the weights of the graph

But why?



Find $exact \ k$ -best parses of a sentence given the weights of the graph

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

But why?

- Model might not be correct, rerank k-best parses
- Constrained models (think global features)

MSTParser and MaltParser produce an approximate k-best list

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

TurboParser has no k-best feature

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.

- 1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.
- 2. There is at least one edge in $A^{(1)}$, which should not be in the 2nd best arborescence.

- 1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.
- 2. There is at least one edge in $A^{(1)}$, which should not be in the 2nd best arborescence.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

3. Let us call this *maximum impact* edge, say *e*.

- 1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.
- 2. There is at least one edge in $A^{(1)}$, which should not be in the 2nd best arborescence.

Let us call this *maximum impact* edge, say *e*.
 We have an algorithm to find *e*.

- 1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.
- 2. There is at least one edge in $A^{(1)}$, which should not be in the 2nd best arborescence.
- 3. Let us call this *maximum impact* edge, say *e*. We have an algorithm to find *e*.
- 4. Now consider two possibilities:
 - *e* is banned (this includes the 2nd best solution)
 - *e* is required (this includes the 1st best solution, *A*)

- 1. We know how to get $A^{(1)}$, the 1-best arborescence.
- 2. There is at least one edge in $A^{(1)}$, which should not be in the 2nd best arborescence.
- Let us call this *maximum impact* edge, say *e*.
 We have an algorithm to find *e*.
- 4. Now consider two possibilities:
 - *e* is banned (this includes the 2nd best solution)
 - *e* is required (this includes the 1st best solution, *A*)
- 5. Partition the whole search space into two smaller subspaces.

Partition the solution space

Let **reqd** = set of edges that must be included and **banned** = set of edges that must be excluded.

$$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset \\ \texttt{banned} = \emptyset \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset \\ \texttt{banned} = \emptyset \end{array}$$











900

- ▶ Find best arborescence A s.t. reqd ⊆ A ⊆ E \ banned Algorithm GetConstrained1Best(G, ROOT, reqd, banned)
- ► Find an edge e ∈ A \ reqd that defines the next partition. Algorithm FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, A, reqd, banned)

 Smart way to search the subspace of solutions Algorithm GetKBest(G, ROOT, k) Throw out edges before you feed the graph into Get1Best:

- Throw out every edge in banned
- Throw out every edge that competes with any edge in reqd

Run Get1Best

Runtime $O(n^2)$

Outline of the rest of the talk

- ▶ Find best arborescence A s.t. reqd ⊆ A ⊆ E \ banned Algorithm GetConstrained1Best(G, ROOT, reqd, banned)
- ► Find an edge e ∈ A \ reqd that defines the next partition. Algorithm FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, A, reqd, banned)

 Smart way to search the subspace of solutions Algorithm GetKBest(G, ROOT, k) Algorithm FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, A, reqd, banned)

- Input (A, reqd, banned),
- For every edge e in A \ reqd, find the next best alternative edge, alt(e)
 - this alternative cannot be in banned
 - ► the source of this alternative must not be lower down in the tree A

- Return eBan, the edge e in A \ reqd with the highest scoring alternative
- Return diff = score(eBan) alt(eBan)

Return variables eBan, diff

 $\frac{\text{Runtime}}{O(n^2)}$

FindEdgeToBan(G,ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset) ROOT b:1 c : 1 a : 5 f : 5 V1 d:11V2 V3 g : 10 : 8 e:4 h : 9

$$diff = +\infty, eBan = \emptyset$$

(日)、

- 3

FindEdgeToBan(G,ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset) ROOT b:1 c:1a : 5 f : 5 V1 d : 11 V2 V3 g : 10 : 8 e:4 h : 9

$$diff = +\infty, eBan = \emptyset$$

(日)、

- 3

FindEdgeToBan(G,ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset) ROOT b:1 c : 1 a : 5 f : 5 V1 V2 V3 g : 10 : 8 e:4 h : 9

$$diff = +\infty, eBan = \emptyset$$

(日)、

- 3



alt(d) = bdiff = 10, eBan = d


alt(d) = bdiff = 10, eBan = d





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ







FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset)

alt(f) = e

diff = 1, eBan = f

FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset) ROOT alt(f) = ediff = 1, eBan = f

FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, $A^{(1)}$, reqd = \emptyset , banned = \emptyset) ROOT V5 alt(a) = cdiff = 0, eBan = a

 $\texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G},\texttt{ROOT}, \mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Outline of the rest of the talk

- ▶ Find best arborescence A s.t. reqd ⊆ A ⊆ E \ banned Algorithm GetConstrained1Best(G, ROOT, reqd, banned)
- ► Find an edge e ∈ A \ reqd that defines the next partition. Algorithm FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, A, reqd, banned)

 Smart way to search the subspace of solutions Algorithm GetKBest(G, ROOT, k)

Revisit partitioning



- For every partition, save the following tuple: (wt, eBan, A, reqd, banned)
- A = GetConstrained1Best(G, ROOT, reqd, banned) corresponds to the *best* solution in the partition
- diff,eBan = FindEdgeToBan(G,ROOT, A, reqd, banned)
- wt = score(A) diff
- Maintain a priority queue, Q containing all tuples sorted by wt

Q determines which path to traverse in the search space

GetKBest

```
def GetKBest(G. ROOT. k):
""" returns k-best arborescences
reqd \leftarrow \emptyset banned \leftarrow \emptyset
A^{(1)} \leftarrow \text{Get1Best}(\langle G, V, G, E \rangle, \text{ROOT})
diff, eBan \leftarrow FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, A^{(1)}, reqd, banned)
Q.push((score(A^{(1)}) - diff, eBan, A^{(1)}, regd, banned))
for j in 2...k:
      (wt, eBan, \overline{A}, reqd, banned) \leftarrow Q.pop()
      if wt = -\infty:
            return A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(j-1)}
      reqd \leftarrow reqd \cup \{eBan\}
      banned \leftarrow banned \cup \{eBan\}
      A^{(j)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(G, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd}, \texttt{banned}')
      diff, eBan \leftarrow FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, \overline{A}, reqd, banned)
      Q.push((score(\bar{A}) - diff, eBan, \bar{A}, reqd, banned))
      diff, eBan \leftarrow FindEdgeToBan(G, ROOT, \overline{A}, reqd, banned)
      Q.push((wt - diff, eBan, \overline{A}, reqd, banned))
return A^{(1)}, ..., A^{(k)}
```

Runtime $O(kn^2)$

11 11 11

 $A^{(1)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $A^{(1)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 0, \texttt{eBan} = a) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

 $A^{(1)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 0, \texttt{eBan} = a) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

 $A^{(2)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G},\texttt{ROOT},\texttt{reqd} = \emptyset,\texttt{banned} = \{a\})$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $A^{(2)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \{a\})$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 1, \texttt{eBan} = f) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \{a\}, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ○ < ○

 $A^{(2)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \{a\})$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 1, \texttt{eBan} = f) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \{a\}, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$

 $A^{(2)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \{a\})$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 1, \texttt{eBan} = f) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G},\texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)},\texttt{reqd} = \{a\},\texttt{banned} = \emptyset) \\ (\texttt{diff} = 2, \texttt{eBan} = h) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G},\texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)},\texttt{reqd} = \emptyset,\texttt{banned} = \{a\})$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

 $A^{(2)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \{a\})$



 $(\texttt{diff} = 1, \texttt{eBan} = f) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \{a\}, \texttt{banned} = \emptyset)$ $(\texttt{diff} = 2, \texttt{eBan} = h) \leftarrow \texttt{FindEdgeToBan}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{ROOT}, A^{(1)}, \texttt{reqd} = \emptyset, \texttt{banned} = \{a\})$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $A^{(3)} \leftarrow \texttt{GetConstrained1Best}(\texttt{G},\texttt{ROOT},\texttt{reqd} = \{a\},\texttt{banned} = \{f\})$



Conclusion

Graph-based formulation for dependency parsing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- 1-best algorithm by Chu-Liu-Edmonds
- k-best algorithm by Camerini